



TYCHE

Beiträge zur Alten Geschichte Papyrologie und Epigraphik

Band 1, 1986

Herausgegeben von
Gerhard Dobesch, Hermann Harrauer
Peter Siewert und Ekkehard Weber

1986





**Beiträge zur Alten Geschichte,
Papyrologie und Epigraphik**

T Y C H E

**Beiträge zur Alten Geschichte
Papyrologie und Epigraphik**

Band 1

1986



Verlag Adolf Holzhausens Nfg., Wien

Herausgegeben von:

Gerhard Dobesch, Hermann Harrauer, Peter Siewert und Ekkehard Weber

In Zusammenarbeit mit:

Reinhold Bichler, Herbert Graßl, Sigrid Jalkotzy und Ingomar Weiler

Redaktion:

Johann Diethart, Bernhard Palme, Brigitte Rom, Hans Taeuber

Zuschriften und Manuskripte erbeten an:

Redaktion TYCHE, c/o Institut für Alte Geschichte, Universität Wien, Dr.-Karl-Lueger-Ring 1,
A-1010 Wien. Beiträge in deutscher, englischer, französischer, italienischer und lateinischer
Sprache werden angenommen. Eingesandte Manuskripte können nicht zurückgesendet werden.

Bei der Redaktion einlangende wissenschaftliche Werke werden besprochen.

Auslieferung:

Verlag A. Holzhausens Nfg., Kandlgasse 19-21, A-1070 Wien

Gedruckt auf holz- und säurefreiem Papier.

Umschlag: IG II² 2127 (Ausschnitt) mit freundlicher Genehmigung des Epigraphischen Museums in Athen, Inv.-Nr. 8490
und P. Vindob. Barbara 8.

© 1986 by Verlag A. Holzhausens Nfg., Wien

Eigentümer und Verleger: Verlag A. Holzhausens Nfg., Kandlgasse 19-21, A-1070 Wien. Herausgeber: Gerhard
Dobesch, Hermann Harrauer, Peter Siewert und Ekkehard Weber, c/o Institut für Alte Geschichte, Universität Wien,
Dr.-Karl-Lueger-Ring 1, A-1010 Wien. Hersteller: Druckerei A. Holzhausens Nfg., Kandlgasse 19-21, A-1070 Wien.
Verlagsort: Wien. — Herstellungsort: Wien. — Printed in Austria.

ISBN 3-900518-03-3

Alle Rechte vorbehalten.

INHALTSVERZEICHNIS

Anton E. Raubitschek (Stanford), Tyche zum Geleit.	1
Hermann Harrauer, † KAKH TYXH. Ein ἀποτρόπαιον	3
* * *	
Guido Bastianini (Milano), La corrente del Nilo (P. Lond. 934, III p. XLVII)	5
Reinhold Bichler (Innsbruck), Die Hellenisten im 9. Kapitel der Apostelgeschichte. Eine Studie zur antiken Begriffsgeschichte	12
Edmund F. Bloedow (Ottawa), Schliemann on his Accusers	30
Michel Christol (Paris) et Thomas Drew-Bear (Lyon), Documents latins de Phrygie (Tafel 1—12)	41
Johannes Diethart (Wien), Drei Listen aus byzantinischer Zeit auf Papyrus (Tafel 13)	88
Marie Drew-Bear (Lyon), Sur deux documents d'Hermopolis	91
Thomas Drew-Bear (Lyon) et Michel Christol (Paris), Documents latins de Phrygie (Tafel 1—12)	41
Jean Gascou (Paris), Comptabilités fiscales hermopolites du début du 7 ^e siècle (Tafel 14—25)	97
Herbert Gräßl (Klagenfurt), Behinderte in der Antike. Bemerkungen zur sozialen Stellung und Integration	118
Bernhard Hebert (Graz), Attische Gelehrsamkeit in einem alexandrinischen Papyrus? Bemerkungen und Vorschläge zu den Künstlerkanones der <i>Laterculi Alexandrini</i> . .	127
Herbert Hunger (Wien), Die Bauinschrift am Aquädukt von Elaiussa-Sebaste. Eine Rekapitulation (Tafel 26).	132
Erich Kettenhofen (Trier), Zur Siegestitulatur Kaiser Aurelians.	138
Wilhelm Kierdorf (Bochum), Apotheose und postumer Triumph Trajans (Tafel 26) .	147
Wolfgang Luppe (Halle/Saale), Poseidons Geliebte. Philodem, Περὶ εὐσεβείας P. Herc. 1602 VI	157
Rosario Pintaudi (Firenze/Messina) e J. David Thomas (Durham), Una lettera al banchiere Agapetos (Tafel 27, 28)	162
Anton E. Raubitschek (Stanford), Aristoteles über den Ostrakismos	169
Georgina Robinson (London), ΘΜΓ and ΚΜΓ for ΧΜΓ	175
Pieter J. Sijpesteijn (Amsterdam), Six Papyri from the Michigan Collection	178
Pieter J. Sijpesteijn (Amsterdam) und Klaas A. Worp (Amsterdam), Bitschrift an einen <i>praepositorus pagi</i> (?) (Tafel 29)	189
W. F. G. J. Stoetzer (Leiden) und Klaas A. Worp (Amsterdam), Zwei Steuerquittungen aus London und Wien (Tafel 30)	195
Karl Strobel (Augsburg), Der Aufstand des L. Antonius Saturninus und der sogenannte zweite Chattenkrieg Domitians	203
Hans Taeuber (Wien), Ehreninschrift aus Megalopolis für Aristopamon, Sohn des Lydiadas (Tafel 31)	221

J. David Thomas (Durham) e Rosario Pintaudi (Firenze/Messina), Una lettera al banchiere Agapetos (Tafel 27, 28)	162
Emmanuel Voutiras (Thessaloniki), Bemerkungen zu zwei makedonischen Freilassungsurkunden (Tafel 32)	227
Klaas A. Worp (Amsterdam) und Pieter J. Sijpesteijn (Amsterdam), Bittschrift an einen <i>praepositus pagi</i> (?) (Tafel 29)	189
Klaas A. Worp (Amsterdam) und W. F. G. J. Stoetzer (Leiden), Zwei Steuerquittungen aus London und Wien (Tafel 30)	195

* * *

Literaturberichte und Buchbesprechung

Peter Siewert, Peloponnesiaka	235
Ekkehard Weber: A. Demand, M.-Th. Raepsaet-Charlier, <i>Les inscriptions latines de Belgique (ILB)</i> , Brüssel 1985	238
Indices: Johannes Diethart	240

Tafeln 1—32

GEORGINA ROBINSON
KMΓ and ΘΜΓ for XMΓ

New light is thrown on the question of the interpretation of the cryptographic or abbreviated use of the letters XMΓ by two receipts of payment documents of the early Arab period. In these the letters ΘΜΓ, preceded by a chrismon appear immediately above the *di emu* of the notary's signature at the bottom of the documents. The first instance of this is to be found in P.Grenf. II 100, 24. The letters were not then understood by Grenfell and do not appear in the transcription nor is any reference made to them in the commentary. They are however clearly visible on the microfilm of the British Library no. DCCXXVIII. The second instance is the unpublished text P. Vindob. G 20777 + 20783 + 26663¹. Here the letters appear in exactly the same position above the notarial signature. Both texts, although not from the same hand or witnessed by the same notary, are palaeographically very similar and both are addressed to the Duke Joseph. The British Library text is dated 683 A. D. The date for the Vienna text, which only gives the month and 14th indiction year, is likely to be either 671 or 685 A. D.

Quite clearly in these two instances of ΘΜΓ the Θ stands for Θεός and replaces the X for Χριστός in the common XMΓ formula². This substitution of Θεός for Χριστός is to my knowledge hitherto unknown and it throws an exciting new light on how the letters were interpreted by their users in this context. A similar example of a single letter substitution is the one instance of the letters KMΓ occurring on an Alexandrian stele of the 5th—6th century A. D. These were transcribed by the editor G. Botti, *Stele cristiane di epoca bizantina*, Bessarione 51—55 (1900) 243, as *Cristo. Maria. Gabriele*. This was then subsequently discussed by V. Strazulla, *Rivista di storia antica* N. S. 6 (1901) 136—138, who rightly interpreted the letter K as representing Κύριος³.

These two lots of examples of the letters ΘΜΓ and KMΓ in the XMΓ formula limit considerably the choice of interpretations which can be made to apply to all three groups of letters XMΓ, ΘΜΓ and KMΓ. For instance the interpretation which can be excluded *prima facie* in these cases is that the letters are numbers corresponding to the addition of the letters in an well-known Christian phrase such as ή ἄγια τριάς Θ(εός); ἄγιος ὁ Θεός; Νέος Ἡλιος or Θεὸς βοηθός⁴. This isopsephical interpretation depends on the number 643 = XMΓ and can clearly not

¹ The text was found and pieced together by H. Harrauer who also drew my attention to the occurrence of ΘΜΓ in both texts and suggested the important implication of this.

² For a thorough discussion of the letters XMΓ and the various interpretations attributed to them see J.—O. Tjäder, *Christ, Our Lord, Born of the Virgin Mary (XMΓ and VDN)*, Eranos 68 (1970) 148—190.

³ I am very grateful to H. Taeuber (Vienna) for drawing my attention to the letters KMΓ and also to the relevant Dumbarton Oaks Bibliography (note 5).

⁴ J. Krall, *Mittheilungen aus der Sammlung der Papyrus Erzherzog Rainer 1* (1887) 127. More recently for the interpretation Θεὸς βοηθός see A. Blanchard, *Sur quelques interprétations de XMΓ*, Proceedings of the XIV International Congress of Papyrologists, Oxford 1975, 19—24.

be applied to the other two letter groups. It must however be stressed at this point that any one of the more commonly argued interpretations of the letters very probably represent, at some instance, the actual interpretation attributed to them by the users themselves. Any formula of such drastic abbreviation, which appeared on a wide range of medium other than documents, such as amphorae, roof tiles, gravestones, graffiti and rings⁵ throughout the Mediterranean world and Africa and over a period of almost half a millennium, would inevitably generate a number of different interpretations. The letters appear to have been used in some cases apotropically, for instance on door lintels⁶, and this usage probably represents the original intention behind the letters. The original meaning is arguably the interpretation Χριστὸν Μαρία γεννᾷ⁷. It is still the only interpretation for which we have evidence in the form of unabridged texts: P.Grenf. II 112 (a) a fragment of Psalm I 3 is prefaced with † $\bar{\chi}\bar{c}$ MAPIA ΓΕΝΝΑ KAI † MAPIA $\bar{\chi}\bar{c}$ ΓΕΝΝΑ K(AI) $\bar{\chi}\bar{c}$ MAPIA ΓΕΝΝΑ. Secondly in an inscription on a Nubian gravestone of the 5th century the words appear at the end of a section of the inscription followed by *amen*⁸. This interpretation is also adaptable to all three of the letter combinations. Θεὸν Μαρία γεννᾷ, Mary as the mother of God is attested in the form of the epithet Θεοτόκος⁹. Κύριον Μαρία γεννᾷ has a more direct parallel in the words of the Christian creed: πιστεύω εἰς Θεὸν πατέρα παντοκράτορα καὶ εἰς Χριστὸν Ἰησοῦν υἱὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν μονογενῆ, τὸν Κύριον ἡμῶν τὸν γεννηθέντα ἐκ πνεύματος ἀγίου καὶ Μαρίας τῆς παρθένου κτλ. This substitution of κύριος for Χριστός corresponds more directly with the argument suggested by Tjäder (op. cit.) that XMG is the equivalent of the Latin letters *VDN* found in graffiti from the Paedagogium on the Palatine in Rome, which he translates as *v(irgine) d(ominus) n(atus)*. Κύριος and dominus are the direct translation of each other as in *dominum nostrum, qui natus est* and Κύριον ἡμῶν τὸν γεννηθέντα. This instance of the letters KMG would therefore support his argument.

Despite the possibility of the interchange of all three groups of letters to this interpretation, I do not believe that this is the interpretation intended when this formula is used before the notary's signature. Documents where this occurs are: CPR I 30, 55 (VIth century); BGU I 364, 21 (553 A. D.); SB I 4681; 4824 and 4771 where it appears after στοιχῖ μοι, XMG ως πρόκειται; SPP III 100, 5; SPP VIII 702, 3. The use of the formula in this position is comparable to the use of κὲ βοη^θ = κύριε βοήθει found in Hermopolitan documents of the second half of the 5th century through to the 7th century A. D. These letters are discussed and interpreted by J. Diethart in his article *KYPIE BOHΘEI in byzantinischen Notarsunterschriften*, ZPE 49 (1982) 79—82. The letters appear immediately after the ἔγραφη of the notary. There seems to be no logical purpose for the inclusion of the formula XMG/ΘMG/κὲ βοη^θ, unless to serve a specific function as part of the witnessing procedure of the notary. The function of the notary, as discussed by V. Gardthausen in

⁵ For references to the different medium on which the letters occur, see Dumbarton Oaks Bibliography II/ i: Epigraphy, Abbreviations, Monograms and Cryptography.

⁶ W. K. Prentice, *Magical Formula of Lintels of the Christian Period in Syria*, AJA 10 (1906) 137—150.

⁷ For a detailed discussion of this interpretation see Tjäder, op. cit. Also noteworthy are the discussions in the BPhW (1906) between E. Nestle (381—384), who maintained that the letters XMG represent Christus, Michael, Gabriel; A. Dieterich (510) Χριστὸς Μαρία γέννα and J. J. Smirnof (1082—1088) who regarded the letters isosephically.

⁸ C. M. Kaufmann, *Handbuch der altchristlichen Epigraphik*, Freiburg 1917, 75. M. Guarducci, *Epigrafia greca, Roma* 1978, IV 459—461; 549—551. I am grateful to the Ancient History Department of Vienna University, Prof. P. Siewert for drawing my attention to the epigraphical evidence and also for the reference to Mary as Μή(τηρ) Θ(εο)ῦ in MAMA I 387 (note 9).

⁹ Mary as the mother of God, Μή(τηρ) Θ(εο)ῦ: MAMA I 387.

Di emu der ägyptischen Notare, SPP XVII 1—8, was not only to verify the authenticity of the document, but also it appears that if the document was not witnessed by a notary then its legal validity was questionable. This accounts for the use of elaborate signatures by the notaries to avoid the possibility of forgery. The use of the two formula, either ΧΜΓ or ΘΜΓ must therefore have served as an abbreviated form of oath. An oath is defined as an “appeal to God in witness that a statement is true or a promise binding” (Oxford English Dictionary). This appeal to God is in my opinion expressed in the ΧΜΓ/ΘΜΓ formula. The interpretation which in this case best suits the sense in this particular usage is that recently proposed by A. Gostoli, *Una nuova ipotesi interpretativa della sigla cristiana ΧΜΓ*, Stud. Pap. 22 (1983) 9—14, in which she argues that the letters ΧΜΓ represent Χριστός μάρτυς γένηται. This hypothesis is further strengthened by the substitution of Θεός for Χριστός because unlike the phrase Χριστός μάρτυς, Θεός μάρτυς finds its direct parallels in the Pauline Epistles, Romans I 9 and Philippians I 8 where it is used in the sense of calling upon God to witness the veracity of an action, μάρτυς γάρ μου ὁ Θεός κτλ.¹⁰ The Lord is my witness is also completely interchangeable although I can find no direct example.

The very fact that we have the three variants of the formula ΧΜΓ, ΘΜΓ and ΚΜΓ is proof that the letters ΧΜΓ had no hard and fast interpretation. Rather an interpretation should be sought which best suits the context. In the case when they appear before the notaries signature at the end of documents, then μάρτυς γένηται would seem a most appropriate solution. It served not only the function of distinguishing the christian character of the document in the Arab period but was also an intrinsic part of the notary’s signature to the witnessing of the document: — ἐγὼ δὲ μάρτυρα τὸν Θεὸν ἐπικαλοῦμαι (Corinthians I 23).

8 Elvaston Place
London SW7 5QQ

Georgina Robinson

¹⁰ Philippians I 8: μάρτυς γάρ μου ὁ Θεός, ὃς ἐπιποθῶ πάντας ὑμᾶς ἐν σπλάγχνοις Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ; Romans I 9: μάρτυς γάρ μου ὁ Θεός, - - - ὃς ἀδιαλείπτως μνείαν ὑμῶν ποιοῦμαι;