



Τ Y C H E

Beiträge zur Alten Geschichte Papyrologie und Epigraphik

Band 6, 1991

Herausgegeben von
Gerhard Dobesch, Hermann Harrauer
Peter Siewert und Ekkehard Weber

1991





**Beiträge zur Alten Geschichte,
Papyrologie und Epigraphik**

T Y C H E

**Beiträge zur Alten Geschichte
Papyrologie und Epigraphik**

Band 6

1991



Verlag Adolf Holzhausens Nfg., Wien

Herausgegeben von:

Gerhard Dobesch, Hermann Harrauer, Peter Siewert und Ekkehard Weber

In Zusammenarbeit mit:

Reinhold Bichler, Herbert Graßl, Sigrid Jalkotzy und Ingomar Weiler

Redaktion:

Johann Diethart, Wolfgang Hameter, Bernhard Palme
Georg Rehrenböck, Walter Scheidel, Hans Taeuber

Zuschriften und Manuskripte erbeten an:

Redaktion TYCHE, c/o Institut für Alte Geschichte, Universität Wien, Dr.-Karl-Lueger-Ring 1,
A-1010 Wien. Beiträge in deutscher, englischer, französischer, italienischer und lateinischer
Sprache werden angenommen. Eingesandte Manuskripte können nicht zurückgesendet werden.

Bei der Redaktion einlangende wissenschaftliche Werke werden besprochen.

Auslieferung:

Verlag A. Holzhausens Nfg., Kandlgasse 19-21, A-1070 Wien

Gedruckt auf holz- und säurefreiem Papier.

Umschlag: IG II² 2127 (Ausschnitt) mit freundlicher Genehmigung des Epigraphischen Museums in Athen, Inv.-Nr. 8490
und P. Vindob. Barbara 8.

© 1991 by Verlag A. Holzhausens Nfg., Wien

Eigentümer und Verleger: Verlag A. Holzhausens Nfg., Kandlgasse 19-21, A-1070 Wien. Herausgeber: Gerhard
Dobesch, Hermann Harrauer, Peter Siewert und Ekkehard Weber, c/o Institut für Alte Geschichte, Universität Wien,
Dr.-Karl-Lueger-Ring 1, A-1010 Wien. Hersteller: Druckerei A. Holzhausens Nfg., Kandlgasse 19-21, A-1070 Wien.

Verlagsort: Wien. — Herstellungsort: Wien. — Printed in Austria.

ISBN 3-900518-03-3

Alle Rechte vorbehalten.

INHALT

Kurt Treu †	1
Ruth Altheim-Stiehl (Münster), Wurde Alexandreia im Juni 619 n. Chr. durch die Perser erobert? Bemerkungen zur zeitlichen Bestimmung der sāsānidischen Besetzung Ägyptens unter Chosrau II. Parwēz	3
Antti Arjava (Helsinki), Zum Gebrauch der griechischen Rangprädikate des Senatorenstandes in den Papyri und Inschriften	17
Roger S. Bagnall (New York), The Taxes of Toka. SB XVI 12324 Reconsidered	37
Johannes Diethart (Wien), Reminiszenzen an die Schule bei Pseudo-Chrysostomos?	45
Claudio Gallazzi (Milano), Cartellino per due tuniche. P.Cair. 10607 (Tafel 1)	47
Herbert Graßl (Klagenfurt), Probleme der Neutralität im Altertum	51
Manfred Hainzmann (Graz), Ovilava — Lauriacum — Virunum. Zur Problematik der Statthalterresidenzen und Verwaltungszentren Norikums ab ca. 170 n. Chr.	61
Hermann Harrauer (Wien) e Rosario Pintaudi (Firenze), Virgilio ed il dimenticato <i>recto</i> di PSI II 142 (Tafel 2, 3)	87
Ulrike Horak (Wien), Fälschungen auf Papyrus, Pergament, Papier und Ostraka (Tafel 4 – 8)	91
Heikki Koskenniemi (Turku), Eine neue Bittschrift ptolemäischer Zeit auf P.Turku 1 (Tafel 9)	99
Johannes Kramer (Siegen), Ende einer Urkunde mit Datierung auf 561 n. Chr. P.Vindob. L 3 = CPL 147 (Tafel 10)	105
Leslie S. B. MacCoull (Washington), “The Holy Trinity” at Aphrodito	109
Basil G. Mandilaras (Athen), The Feast of Thynis, Ἐν ἑορτῇ Θύνεως	113
Michel Matter (Strasbourg), Un compte tardif hermopolite. P.Vindob. G 14296 (Tafel 11)	117
Peter van Minnen (Ann Arbor), Eine Steuerliste aus Hermopolis. Neuedition von SPP XX 40 + 48 (Tafel 12)	121
Rosario Pintaudi (Firenze) e Hermann Harrauer (Wien), Virgilio ed il dimenticato <i>recto</i> di PSI II 142 (Tafel 2, 3)	87
Ioan Piso (Cluj), Die Inschriften vom Pfaffenberge und der Bereich der <i>Canabae legionis</i>	131
Ioan Piso (Cluj), Municipium Vindobonense	171
Eberhard Ruschenbusch (Frankfurt/Main), Isaios 7, 38, Demosthenes' erste freiwillige Trierarchie. Die Datierung des Euböa-Unternehmens vom Jahre 357 v. Chr.	179

Inhaltsverzeichnis

Marjeta Šašel Kos (Ljubljana), Draco and the Survival of the Serpent Cult in the Central Balkans (Tafel 13)	183
Paul Schubert (Genève), Pétition au stratège (Tafel 14)	193
Pieter J. Sijpesteijn (Amsterdam), οὐράνιος ἡ καὶ μονάζουσα. Kauf von Fässern gegen Vorauszahlung (Tafel 15)	197
Hans Taeuber (Wien), Die syrisch-kilikische Grenze während der Principatszeit	201
Peter Weiß (Kiel), Bleietiketten mit Warenangaben aus dem Umfeld von Rom (Tafel 16)	211
Klaas A. Worp (Amsterdam), Remarks on Weekdays in Late Antiquity Occurring in Documentary Sources	221
Bemerkungen zu Papyri IV <Korr. Tyche 35–51>	231
Buchbesprechungen	237
Reinhard Wolters: „ <i>Tam diu Germania vincitur</i> “. Bochum 1989 (237); Martin Frey: <i>Untersuchungen zur Religion und zur Religionspolitik des Kaisers Elagabal</i> . Stuttgart 1989 (237); P. Ovidius Naso: <i>Briefe aus der Verbannung. Tristia; Epistulae ex Ponto</i> . Lt. & dt. Übertr. v. Wilhelm Willige. Zürich 1990 (238); Marc Aurel: <i>Wege zu sich selbst. Τὰ εἰς ἑαυτόν</i> . Gr. & dt. Hrsg. u. übers. v. Rainer Nickel. München 1990 (239); Boethius: <i>Trost der Philosophie. Consolatio philosophiae</i> . Lt. & dt. Hrsg. v. Ernst Gegenschatz und Olof Gigon. München 1990 (241); Detlef Fechner: <i>Untersuchungen zu Cassius Diós Sicht der Römischen Republik</i> . Hildesheim 1986 (242); <i>Roman Eastern Policy and Other Studies in Roman History. Proceedings of a Colloquium at Tvärminne 2–3 Oct. 1987</i> . Ed. by Heikki Solin and Mika Kajava. Helsinki 1990 (243); Georg Dobelhofer: <i>Die Popularen der Jahre 111–99 vor Christus</i> . Wien 1990 (244); <i>Das Totenbuch der Ägypter</i> . Eingel., übers. u. erl. von Erik Hornung. Zürich 1990 (244); Hans-Joachim Gehrke: <i>Geschichte des Hellenismus</i> . München 1990 (245); Jochen Martin: <i>Spätantike und Völkerwanderung</i> . München 1987 (245); Hermann Diehl: <i>Sulla und seine Zeit im Urteil Ciceros</i> . Hildesheim 1988 (248); <i>Kulturhistorische und archäologische Probleme des Südostalpenraumes in der Spätantike</i> . Referate des Symposions 24.–26. Sept. 1981 Klagenfurt. Hrsg. v. Herbert Graßl. Wien 1985 (248); Karl-Wilhelm Weeber: <i>Smog über Attika</i> . Zürich 1990 (249); Thomas Grünewald: <i>Constantinus Maximus Augustus. Herrschaftspropaganda in der zeitgenössischen Überlieferung</i> . Stuttgart 1990 (250); Erik Hornung: <i>Gesänge vom Nil. Dichtung am Hofe der Pharaonen</i> . Zürich 1990 (251); Otto Veh: <i>Lexikon der römischen Kaiser</i> . München 1990 (251); Dankward Vollmer: <i>Symploke. Das Übergreifen der römischen Expansion auf den griechischen Osten</i> . Stuttgart 1990 (252; Gerhard Dobesch) — M. G. Sirivianou [et al.]: <i>The Oxyrhynchus Papyri</i> . Vol. LVI. London 1989 (253; Bernhard Palme) — Richard Duncan-Jones: <i>Structure and Scale in the Roman Economy</i> . Cambridge 1990 (256; Walter Scheidel).	
Indices: Johannes Diethart	260
Tafel 1–16	

BASIL G. MANDILARAS

The Feast of Thynis — Ἐν ἑορτῇ Θύνεως

It has come to our knowledge through papyrological data that the *feast of Thynis* (ἑορτὴ Θύνεως) was celebrated at Θῦνις, a village situated in the Hermopolite nome. The information we possess from the papyrus sources about the feast is so vague that we assume it was familiar to those referring to it.

Θῦνις (written also as Θῖνις or Θεῖνις) was a place-name connected with two villages. (For particulars see M. Drew-Bear, *Le nome Hermopolite*, Missoula 1978, 118 – 121). The first, often appearing as κώμη Θύνεως, is found in a papyrus of A.D. 104 (P.Sarapion 15, 2), and is placed in the Hermopolite nome. Drew-Bear has collected 41 texts of Greek and 6 of Coptic papyri which record the village of Thynis. Further texts mentioning the same village have appeared since her book was published. From the chronological point of view the last reference to Thynis is made in a papyrus dating from the seventh or eighth century (CPR V 25, 7). It follows, therefore, that the tradition of the village Thynis remained intact from the beginning of the second century A.D. until after the Arab conquest. This suggests that for a period of almost seven centuries, life in the village of Thynis remained unaffected by any disruptive historical events.

A second village with the same name was situated in the district of Hermonthis (south Egypt, near Thebes). Drew-Bear observes (119 – 120): “Ce village de Θῦνις est à distinguer d'une localité homonyme, située dans la région d'Hermonthis et attestée notamment par UPZ ii 196 et 180a (116 et 113 avant J.-C.), SB vi 9211a (2e siècle après J.-C.), SB x 10270 (3e).” She adds that the editors of O.Bodl. II 1830 render the provenance of five ostraca to the district of Hermonthis, since these ostraca could not possibly have come from the Hermopolite nome (namely O.Strasb. 615, 1, II A.D.; 625, i 22; ii 3, II A.D.; P.Lips. I 92, 1, II – III A.D.; O.Bodl. II 1830, 1, II – III A.D.; 2422, ii 8, III A.D.). She also mentions two more papyri, P.Lips. I 97, xv 5, A.D. 338, and P.Lond. I 125 (p. 192), 42, IV A.D. from Hermonthis which refer to a place named Θῦνις.

So much for the village of Θῦνις itself. For its geographical situation see A. Calderini, S. Daris, *Dizionario II* 298 with bibliography.

We now turn to “the feast of Thynis”. It has been accepted by papyrologists (if not somewhat reluctantly) that the ἑορτὴ Θύνεως was a feast which used to take place in the village Thynis. In other words, it was a local feast named after the village where it was celebrated. The content of this feast, however, has never been sufficiently revealed by ancient sources, and its date has not yet been defined by research.

In CPR V 26 a reference is made to the feast of Thynis, and the editor of this papyrus comments on the area and the precise site of the village, which he places in the district of Περὶ πόλιν ἄνω. The relevant lines read: τ]ῆς ἑορτῆ<ζ> (or τ]ῆς {ζ} ἑορτῆ) Θείνεως (vacat) οῖ(vou) δι(πλᾶ) κς (line 469), and similarly τῇ ἑ]ορτῇ Θύνεως (vacat) οῖ(vou)

δι(πλᾶ) κβ (line 799). It is beyond any doubt that the feast was celebrated at the village of Thynis in the Hermopolite nome, since all the villages mentioned in this text are situated within the area of this nome¹.

This papyrus is an unusually lengthy text (1037 lines), written primarily for recording the collection of taxes. Later it was used to include the personal notes of the writer, most probably a wine merchant, who was required to make some calculations on how much wine would be consumed at the feast of Thynis: i. e. 26 and 22 dipla of wine, respectively. One positive fact emerging here is that wine was an indispensable element for the feast. See PSI VII 807, 45 (A. D. 280): a list of accounts mentioning the expenses for wine ὑπὲρ ἔορτῆς. Cf. also P.Oxy. X 1297, 11 (IV A. D.); SB VI 9587, 26 (VI–VII A. D.); SB I 4483, 16 (A. D. 636). To offer wine for a feast was an old custom associated with pagan worship.

If the editor of CPR V 26 is right in dating the papyrus to the fourth century (cf. ZPE 24, 111; CPR IX 43a, CdE 58, 226), we can say that the feast of Thynis was inaugurated, at least, in that century, and that it was, presumably, a Christian feast. It is unlikely that a pagan feast would have been accepted with such vigour in a notably Christian environment.

On the nature of the feast, E. P. Wegener (the editor of PLBat. III 16) has propounded the theory that it was a harvest celebration associated with the village Thynis. He fails, however, to account for the problems involved, i. e. whether this feast was a village celebration or an expression of devotion to a Patron Saint of the village of Thynis or, finally, the name of a local deity, as expressed by the editors of P.Lond. III 1036 (p. 268); but this last one must be rejected without hesitation, since there is no Saint or Martyr under this name recorded in the Byzantine or Coptic Church Calendars². Had such deity existed, we would have some information from other literary or non-literary texts. The one we are concerned with runs as follows:

11 ὅνπερ φόρον νέον καθαρὸν κεκοσκινευμένον μέ[τ]ρῳ Ἀθηναίῳ
 12 ἀποδώσω καὶ μετρήσω τῷ Ἐπειφ μηνὶ κατ' ἔτος ἀνυπερθέτως καθισ-
 13 τανόμενος αὐτὸν τὸν φόρον εἰς τὸν αὐτὸν Φιλοπάνιον ἰδίοις μου
 14 ζώοις καὶ ἀναλόμασιν. Παρέξει δὲ κατ' ἔτος καὶ ἀχύρο(υ) κανσίμου
 15 σαργάνην μίαν καὶ γάλακτος χύτραν μίαν καὶ σταχύων δεμάτων δέκα
 16 καὶ ἐν τῇ ἔορτῇ Θύν(εως) ὄνον ἔνα εἰς τὸ ἀπελθεῖν χωρὶς φολέτρων.

(11. κεκοσκινευμένον, 12–13 καθιστονομένον Pap.)

The text concerns arrangements of the payment of tax for the lease of some land. In addition, the tenant is obliged to provide several goods, including “one ass for/in the feast of Thynis, so that the proprietor of the land can go there without paying the cost of carriage”. An interesting point arising from this text is that the feast was considered so exceptional that the lessor mentioned it when drawing the contract, putting a condition in it for transportation, thereby allowing him to attend the feast.

¹ Cf. L. Casarico, *EOPTH e ΠΑΝΗΓΥΡΙΣ nei papiri*, Aegyptus 64 (1984) 150.

² This I have checked in O’Leary de Lacy, *The Saints in Egypt*, New York, London 1937 and V. Grumel, *La chronologie*, Paris 1958.

Given that the text enumerates goods at hand during harvest time, the editor concluded that the feast of Thynis was a harvest-feast. It is not obligatory, however, to relate the items in the text to the date of the feast, since the conjunction *καὶ* does not necessarily put all the terms of the contract on the same chronological level. Certainly it is beyond any doubt that an ass had to be provided for the occasion of the feast, but it is arbitrary to connect the other items with the feast.

It is worthwhile reexamining the three London papyri which refer to the feast of Thynis: Of these 1036 has been published in p. 268, while the other two, namely 1039 and 1056, have been described in pp. liv and lv respectively, and subsequently published by G. Parássoglou in Ἑλληνικά 37 (1986) 77–79; 80–82. No. 1039 has a reference to the feast of Thynis similar to that of 1036, 9 and 1056, 13, i. e. οῖνο(υ) ἀγ[γίον παλαιὸν ἔ]ν τῇ ἑορτῇ Θύνεως (revised reading: it could be equally ἀγ[γεῖον μέγα ἔ]ν as supplemented by G. Parássoglou). No. 1056 has preserved the second part of a lease, the terms of which include a contribution of a cask of wine paid yearly at the feast of Thynis. Both these papyri support the view that wine was indispensable to the feast and justify the expression λόγῳ συνηθείας which occurs in 1036, 7. No. 1036 is an acceptance of a lease of land with a yearly rent of 27 carats and a further payment in wine at the feast of Thynis; as with the previous two, it dates to the sixth or seventh century³. The text also refers to the “standard of Hermopolis”, thus identifying the village with that of Thynis.

The text runs as follows (revised reading).

1 [σπο]ρὰ[ν καὶ κατάθεστιν] καρπῶν ὃν ἂν αἴρωμαι
 2 φόρου τῶν αὐτῶν δύο ἀρου[ρ]ῶν κατ' ἔτος χρυσοῦ
 3 νομισματίου ἐνδὸς ἡμίσους παρὰ κεράτια ἐννέα
 4 ζυγῷ Ἐρμοῦ πόλεως γι/ χρ/ νο/ αL π/ κερ/ θ// ὅνπερ
 5 χρυσικὸν φόρον ἀπ[ο]δώσω σοι οὕτως. Ἐν μὲν
 6 νόμισμα παρὰ κερ/ ἔξ ἐν τῷ Φαῶφι μηνὶ κατ' ἔτος, τὰ δὲ
 7 κεράτια ἐννέα ἐν τῷ Φαμενῶθ μηνὶ κατ' ἔτος ἀνυπερθέτ(ως).
 8 Δώσω δὲ κατ' ἔτος λόγῳ σ[υν]ηθείας ἐν τῇ ἑορτῇ Θύνεως
 9 οῖνο(υ) ἀγγίον μέγα ἐν καὶ πινάκιον μεστὸν διαφόρων
 10 [βρωμάτων] . [] . [].

Lines 9–10 have been rightly supplemented by E. P. Wegener (see PLBat. III 16, p. 78). The correction is also recorded in BL III 95. For parallels see SB IV 7369, 17 (A.D. 512); BGU XII 2175, 6–7 (V–VI A.D.).

This text provides us with considerable information about the feast. Firstly, we learn that the supply of wine was an “obligatory contribution” (not a “customary present”)⁴, while the wine could be accompanied by other goods as well. Since the second payment was to be made in the month of Phamenoth (= 25 Feb. – 26 March), i. e. six months after the first installment in Phaophi (= 28 Sept. – 27 Oct.), it is obvious that the wine

³ L. Casarico, *Aegyptus* 64 (1984) 151 attributes P.Lond. 1036 to the sixth century.

⁴ For the correct interpretation of this expression see G. Rouillard, *L'administration civile*, Paris 1928, 76–81.

was given with the second — and last within a period of twelve months — installment at the end of Phamenoth, i. e. on the 26th of March. That would be the date of the feast. This is further exemplified by P.Sta. Xyla Inv. 0/154, a papyrus of the sixth century A. D. containing the text of a contract made for the sale of wine on delivery. The text in question runs as follows:

7 Εἰ δὲ εὑρηθ[είη]
 8 δέξος ἢ ἀποί[ητ]ος ἢ δ[έ]σμοις[νος] ἐκ [τοῦ]
 9 αὐτοῦ οἴνου ἔως ἑορτῆ<ς> Θείνεως
 10 τοῦ Φαμενῶθ μηνὸς τῆς παρού-
 11 σης τρίτης ἵνδικ(τίονος)

This text enables us to place the date of the feast of Thynis to the end of month Phamenoth, i. e. on the 26th of March, as this is agreed to be the last day of the testing period of the wine. This date falls within the same indiction in which the wine was bought. The date must fall before May, when the indiction would change into the following one. It further means that the feast could not take place in harvest time, when we would have the next indiction. On the other hand, according to the Christian Church Calendar (cf. O'Leary, *The Saints of Egypt* on the date of 26 March) the last day of Phamenoth was the celebration of the Resurrection of Christ. We are surely justified in concluding that the ἑορτή Θύνεως can be identified with Ἀνάστασις. It seems that the Christians were somewhat restricted to refer to Ἀνάστασις by name. Out of the whole corpus of the Byzantine papyri we have only one reference to it, namely P.Oxy. XXVII 2480, 84 (A. D. 565 – 566?) ἐν τῇ ἀγίᾳ Ἀναστάσι ὅξ(ου)ς δι(πλᾶ) β and line 94 of the same papyrus ἐν τῇ ἀγίᾳ Ἀναστάσει κατὰ τὸ ἔθος καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς ιδ ἵνδικ(τίονος) οῖν(ου) δι(πλᾶ) δ. Both sentences are constructed in a wording similar to that we find “in the feast of Thynis”.